

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/960,546 09/21/2001 Michael J. DePasquale PC11000ARTB 5901 7590 03/24/2004 EXAMINER BOCKELMAN, MARK Pfizer Inc. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Eastern Point Road 3762 Groton, CT 06340 DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	AF	PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
Gregg C. Benson Pfizer Inc. Patent Department, MS 4159 Eastern Point Road Groton, CT 06340 BOCKELMAN, MARK PAPER NUMBER 3762		09/960,546	09/21/2001	Michael J. DePasquale	PC11000ARTB	5901	
Pfizer Inc. Patent Department, MS 4159 Eastern Point Road Groton, CT 06340 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3762		75	590 03/24/2004		EXAM	AMINER	
Patent Department, MS 4159 Eastern Point Road Groton, CT 06340 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3762		Gregg C. Benson			BOCKELMAN, MARK		
Eastern Point Road 3762 Groton, CT 06340		Patent Department, MS 4159			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Groton, CT 06340				3762 DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004			
		Groton, CT 06340					

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Ļ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/960,546	DEPASQUALE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark W Bockelman	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	· ·	·	s
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	·		(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	🗖 .		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/960,546

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.

Claims 11 –15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Neilson USPN 6238,350 or any other general use computer. While applicant recites many details regarding the instructions and what they perform, the instructions are only recited functionally as steps that the microprocessor is capable of performing. Since there appears to be nothing special about applicant's processor, it is assumed that all processors are capable of implementing the functionally stated instructions including the Neilson processor (column 8 lines 15-28)

Application/Control Number: 09/960,546

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raunig "Statistical Analysis of QT interval as a function of changes in RR interval in the conscious Dog". There is evidence to show that the Raunig et al paper was filed to the review board in March 2001 and thus the work by the five listed inventors was completed as of March 2001. All of the method steps performed in the recited claims of this application performed as stated in the earlier paper. Applicants differs only in reciting that the method step are now stored and performed by computer hardware and software which is notoriously old and well known and would be prima facie obvious to those familiar with these types of scientic calculations. Since the actual publication date of the reference is unknown (except that it is September 2001) the examiner is relying upon a 102(f)/103 type rejection in that the claimed invention was derived from the work of another, that is the five listed inventors on the research paper of which only three are listed in the current application.

Applicant may overcome this rejection with a Katz type declaration.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,546

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

308-2112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10-8:30.

examiner should be directed to Mark W Bockelman whose telephone number is (703)-

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Ball

MWB

March 20, 2004

Page 4